

**CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT
SANITARY BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 6, 2024**

AGENDA - AMENDED

The meeting will be held in person at 7:00 p.m. in the Stevens Creek Office Center, Suite 100, 20863 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Cupertino, California and via virtual teleconference.

President Chen will be attending remotely aboard the Crown Princess Cruise Ship en route to Ensenada, Mexico.

Anyone interested may attend in person, by phone [call 1 (866) 899 - 4679 Conference Access Code: 251566821], or virtually <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/251566821>.

1. ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS

This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons desiring to address the board on any matter not on the agenda. Speakers are limited to three (3) minutes.

All statements requiring a response will be referred to staff for further action. In most cases, state law will prohibit the board from making any decisions with respect to a matter not listed on the agenda.

3. CLOSED SESSION

- A. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION
in accordance with government code section Paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9, existing litigation. Name of Case: County Sanitation District 2-3, West Valley Sanitation District, Cupertino Sanitary District, Burbank Sanitary District and the City of Milpitas v. The City of San Jose, The City of Santa Clara and Does 1 through 50 inclusive.

4. MINUTES

- A. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 21, 2024
- B. APPROVED MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 7, 2024

5. CORRESPONDENCE

- A. LETTER – SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANT FY 2024-25 REVENUE PROGRAM

6. MEETINGS

- A. REGULAR MEETING OF THE SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA TREATMENT PLANT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) TO BE HELD ON MONDAY, MARCH 11, 2024
- B. CALIFORNIA ALLIANCE FOR SEWER SYSTEM EXCELLENCE (CASSE) TELECONFERENCE TO BE HELD WEDNESDAY, MARCH 13, 2024
- C. REGULAR MEETING OF THE SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA TREATMENT PLANT ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TPAC) HELD ON THURSDAY, MARCH 14, 2024

**CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT
SANITARY BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 6, 2024**

7. REPORTS

- A. SANTA CLARA COUNTY SPECIAL DISTRICTS ASSOCIATION REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MONDAY, MARCH 4, 2024

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- A. DRAFT BUDGET FY 2024-25

9. NEW BUSINESS

- A. MBR PLUMBING REQUEST TO PROVIDE LATERAL MAINTENANCE SERVICES
- B. SSMP AUDIT

10. STAFF REPORT

- A. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

11. CALENDAR ITEMS

- A. NEXT REGULAR DISTRICT BOARD MEETING IS TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY, MARCH 20, 2024

12. ADJOURNMENT

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2024

The Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District convened this date at 7:00 p.m. This meeting was conducted at the District office at 20863 Stevens Creek Blvd, Suite 100, Cupertino. Participation was also available via videoconference.

1. ROLL CALL:

Acting President Saadati called the meeting to order, and the following proceedings were had to wit: Roll call was taken, with the following members in attendance:

Board Members present: Bill Bosworth, Patrick Kwok, Taghi Saadati, and David Doyle. Angela Chen arrived at 7:04pm.

Absent: None

Staff present: District Manager Benjamin Porter, District Administrative Clerk Frankie Martinez, and Counsel Marc Hynes.

Public Present: None

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were none.

3. CLOSED SESSION:

Acting President Saadati adjourned the regular meeting session and opened the closed session at 7:01 p.m. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez left the meeting. President Chen arrived at 7:04pm.

Conference with legal counsel – Existing Litigation in accordance with government code section Paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9, existing litigation. Name of Case: County Sanitation District 2-3, West Valley Sanitation District, Cupertino Sanitary District, Burbank Sanitary District, and the City of Milpitas v. The City of San Jose, The City of Santa Clara, and Does 1 through 50 inclusive.

Board action: There was no reportable action.

President Chen adjourned the closed session at 7:16 p.m. and the regular meeting was called to order. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez returned to the regular meeting.

4. MINUTES & BILLS:

A. Approval of the Minutes of February 7, 2024

On a motion by Director Bosworth, seconded by Director Doyle, by a vote of 5-0-0, the minutes of the regular meeting held on Wednesday, February 7, 2024, were approved as written.

B. Approved Minutes of January 17, 2024

By consensus, the Minutes of Wednesday, January 17, 2024, are to be Noted & Filed.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2024

C. Payment of Bills and Approval of Financial Statements

On a motion by Director Bosworth, seconded by Director Doyle, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved the financial statements and payment of bills as written.

D. Director's Monthly Timesheets

All Board members turned in their timesheets.

5. CORRESPONDENCE:

A. City of San Jose Letter – FY 2024-25 Regional Wastewater Facility (RWF) Capital Cost Allocation.

Manager Porter reported on the City of San Jose Cost Allocation letter. It is to be Noted & Filed.

6. MEETINGS:

A. Director Bosworth plans to attend the Santa Clara County Special Districts Association regular meeting to be held on Monday, March 3, 2024.

7. REPORTS:

A. Director Kwok reported on the regular meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Advisory Committee (TPAC) held Thursday, February 8, 2024.

B. Manager Porter reported on the California Alliance for Sewer System Excellence (CASSE) teleconference held on Wednesday, February 14, 2024.

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. Via Regina Lift Station Bypass Connection Bid Opening Results

Manager Porter reported to the Board the bid opening results for Via Regina Lift Station Bypass Connection. Able Underground Construction was the lowest bidder. On motion by Director Kwok, seconded by Director Saadati, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved Staff's recommendation to award the bid to Able Underground Construction.

B. Project Updates

Manager Porter reported to the Board on the Forum odor control investigation and the Rise development projects.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2024

9. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Preliminary Budget Discussion

Manager Porter presented the Board with a preliminary draft budget for Fiscal Year 2024-2025. The Board provided comments. There was no Board action. Staff are to bring back the budget with responses to the Board's comments.

10. STAFF REPORTS:

A. Future Development Projects

Manager Porter reported on the status of future development projects.

B. Maintenance Summary

Manager Porter reported one sewer spill in Saratoga caused by a build-up of roots and grease.

11. CALENDAR ITEMS:

- A. The next regular District Board Meeting is scheduled to be held on Wednesday, March 6, 2024. President Chen will be absent if she cannot attend virtually. She is to confirm with Manager Porter closer to the date.

12. ADJOURNMENT:

On a motion properly made and seconded, at 9:23 p.m. the meeting was adjourned.

Secretary of the Sanitary Board

President of the Sanitary Board

APPROVED

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2024

The Sanitary Board of the Cupertino Sanitary District convened this date at 7:00 p.m. This meeting was conducted at the District office at 20863 Stevens Creek Blvd, Suite 100, Cupertino. Participation was also available via videoconference.

1. ROLL CALL:

President Chen called the meeting to order, and the following proceedings were had to wit: Roll call was taken, with the following members in attendance:

Board Members present: Angela Chen, Bill Bosworth, Patrick Kwok, Taghi Saadati, and David Doyle.
Absent: None

Staff present: District Manager Benjamin Porter, District Administrative Clerk Frankie Martinez, and Counsel Marc Hynes.

Public Present: None

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

There were none.

3. CLOSED SESSION:

President Chen adjourned the regular meeting session and opened the closed session at 7:01 p.m. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez left the meeting.

Conference with legal counsel – Existing Litigation in accordance with government code section Paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9, existing litigation. Name of Case: County Sanitation District 2-3, West Valley Sanitation District, Cupertino Sanitary District, Burbank Sanitary District, and the City of Milpitas v. The City of San Jose, The City of Santa Clara, and Does 1 through 50 inclusive.

Board action: There was no reportable action.

President Chen adjourned the closed session at 7:07 p.m. and the regular meeting was called to order. Manager Porter and Administrative Clerk Martinez returned to the regular meeting.

4. MINUTES:

A. Approval of the Minutes of January 17, 2024

On a motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Kwok, by a vote of 3-0-2, the minutes of the regular meeting held on Wednesday, January 17, 2024, were approved as written. President Chen and Director Bosworth abstained.

B. Approved Minutes of December 20, 2023

By consensus, the Minutes of Wednesday, December 20, 2023, are to be Noted & Filed.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2024

5. CORRESPONDENCE:

A. LAFCO Agenda – February 7, 2024

Counsel Hynes reported on Items for Action 5. Update on Implementation of Recommendations from LAFCO’s Countywide Fire Service Review.

6. MEETINGS:

A. Director Kwok plans to attend the regular meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Advisory Committee (TPAC) to be held on Thursday, February 8, 2024.

B. Staff will attend the California Alliance for Sewer System Excellence (CASSE) teleconference to be held Wednesday, February 14, 2024.

7. REPORTS:

A. CSRMA: President Chen and Director Saadati reported on the CSRMA training.

B. CASA Conference: President Chen, Director Saadati, and Manager Porter reported on the Conference sessions.

C. Manager Porter reported on the regular meeting of the San Jose/Santa Clara Treatment Plant Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) held Monday, February 5, 2024.

8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

A. Project Updates

Manager Porter provided an update to the Board on the Rise project. The developer intends to make the sewer private within the development.

9. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Annual Renewal for GraniteNet Software

The Board reviewed a memo requesting approval to renew GraniteNet software. On motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Bosworth, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved annual renewal of GraniteNet software and reimbursement to Mark Thomas in the amount of \$2,344.00.

B. City of Saratoga Annual Pavement Management Program

The Board reviewed a memo requesting authorization of payment to the City of Saratoga for District’s cost associated with raising District manhole covers to grade during the City’s re-pavement project. On motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Bosworth, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board approved payment to the City of Saratoga in the amount of \$21,600.00.

CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT BOARD MEETING
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2024

C. Structural Defect Repair Project Phase II – Approval

Manager Porter reported on Phase II of the Significant Defects Repair Project. On motion by Director Doyle, seconded by Director Bosworth, by a vote of 5-0-0, the Board authorized staff to advertise requests for bids.

10. STAFF REPORTS:

A. Future Development Projects

Manager Porter reported on the status of future development projects.

11. CALENDAR ITEMS:

A. The Next Regular District Board Meeting Scheduled to be Held on Wednesday, February 21, 2024.

12. ADJOURNMENT:

On a motion properly made and seconded, at 8:26 p.m. the meeting was adjourned.

Secretary of the Sanitary Board

President of the Sanitary Board



Environmental Services Department

January 23, 2024

RECEIVED

FEB 29 2024

CUPERTINO
SANITARY DISTRICT

TO: Tributary Agencies

SUBJECT: FY 2024-25 Revenue Program

Attached are the San Jose/Santa Clara Water Pollution Control Plant revenue program forms No. 7 through 12 for FY 2024-25. These forms are submitted to you for your use in preparing your budgets and sewer service rates.

The forms contain the distribution of the preliminary Operating and Maintenance Budget (\$159,901,000) for FY 2024-25 to the tributary agencies. Although these costs are preliminary, they are not anticipated to differ materially from the final proposed budget which will be submitted to the Treatment Plant Advisory Committee and to the San Jose City Council for adoption.

If you have any questions, please call me 975-2599 or Lillian Nguyen at 975-2567.

Sincerely,

LAURA BURKE
Principal Accountant
Administrative Services Division
Environmental Services Department

Attachments

CITY OF SAN JOSE
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

SAN JOSE/SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
2024-25 REVENUE PROGRAM

The attached revenue program forms were prepared to show the distribution of FY24-25 preliminary Operating and Maintenance budget to the tributary agencies and to provide the parameter allocation percentages for agencies to use in redistributing RWF capital costs and debt service costs to their respective users. **The distribution of FY24-25 preliminary capital budget was submitted to the tributary agencies in a separate packet.**

The attached revenue program forms contain the following:

- Capital cost (debt service) allocation percentages by treatment parameters (Flow, BOD, SS and Ammonia) by combinations of facilities.
- Distribution of FY 2024-25 preliminary Operating and Maintenance (O & M) costs to the tributary agencies.

CAPITAL COST (DEBT SERVICE) PARAMETER ALLOCATION PERCENTAGES

Since debt-service and capital reserve expenses should be carefully matched to allocation ratios for the appropriate combination of facilities for which the funds were intended, the following forms have been maintained for each agency to use, if applicable, in allocating capital cost (debt service) to the agency's users:

- Form 10A: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing Pre-1982 debt services (Primary, Secondary and Advance Waste Treatment Facilities)
- Form 10B: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing Post-1982 through Pre-SBWR debt services (1982 Priority, Intermediate-Term Improvement - 143 MGD & First Stage Expansion -167 MGD)
- Form 10C: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing SBWR debt services
- Form 10D: Parameter allocation percentages for redistributing FY24-25 capital costs and debt services

OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COST

Form 12 contains the distribution of the estimated treatment plant O & M costs for FY 2024-25 to the tributary agencies. The form also contains the percentage allocation by parameter for each agency. These percentages are for the agencies' use in redistributing their costs to their respective users.

The O & M cost distribution to the tributary agencies was based on the volume and strength of sewage as reported by the agencies and shown on Form 11.

The FY 2024-25 Preliminary Operating and Maintenance cost distribution is as follows:

AGENCY	Percentage	O & M (rounded)
San Jose	63.140	\$100,963,500
Santa Clara	15.643	25,012,600
West Valley Sanitary District	9.093	14,539,000
Cupertino	5.186	8,291,700
Milpitas	5.745	9,185,800
County Sanitation District 2-3	.962	1,538,300
Burbank	.231	370,100
Total	100%	159,901,000

DISTRIBUTION OF HISTORICAL PROJECT COSTS TO PARAMETERS for the PRIMARY & SECONDARY FACILITIES

PROCESS UNIT	ESTIMATED COST	USEFUL LIFE	(%) LOADING PARAMETERS			CAPITAL RECOVERY FACTOR 6%	ANNUAL CAPITAL RECOVERY COST	FLOW COST ALLOCATED TO I/I 20.936%	TREATMENT PARAMETER ALLOCATION					
			FLOW	BOD	SS				NHS	FLOW COST	BOD COST	SS COST	NHS COST	
General Plant:	Struct.	40	42	39	19	0.06646	\$90,400	\$7,900	\$30,000	\$35,300	\$17,200			
	Equip.	15	42	39	19	0.10296	57,600	5,100	19,100	22,500	10,900			
Administration:	Struct.	40	42	39	19	0.06646	85,100	7,400	28,300	33,200	16,200			
	Struct.	40	42	39	19	0.06646	48,400	4,300	16,100	18,900	9,200			
Laboratory:	Equip.	10	42	39	19	0.13587	5,400	500	1,800	2,100	1,000			
	Struct.	40	100			0.06646	208,800	0	0	208,800	0			
Air Production:	Equip.	20	100			0.08718	217,900	0	0	217,900	0			
	Struct.	40	42	39	19	0.06646	58,800	5,200	19,500	22,900	11,200			
Electric Power:	Equip.	25	42	39	19	0.07823	85,500	7,500	28,400	33,300	16,200			
	Struct.	40	100			0.06646	78,700	16,500	62,200	0	0			
Pretreatment:	Equip.	15		100		0.10296	46,300	0	0	0	46,300			
	Struct.	40	100			0.06646	444,900	93,100	351,800	0	0			
Primary Sedimentation:	Equip.	25	35	65		0.07823	92,800	0	0	32,500	60,300			
	Struct.	40	100			0.06646	39,100	8,200	30,900	0	0			
Raw Sewage Pump:	Equip.	20	100			0.08718	30,300	6,300	24,000	0	0			
	Equip.	20	100			0.08718	17,000	3,600	13,400	0	0			
Settle Sewage Pump:	Struct.	40	100			0.06646	57,900	12,100	45,800	0	0			
	Equip.	20	100			0.08718	82,500	17,300	65,200	0	0			
Secondary Effluent:	Struct.	40	100			0.06646	241,200	50,500	190,700	0	0			
	Equip.	25	100			0.07823	103,400	0	0	103,400	0			
Secondary Clarifiers:	Struct.	30	100			0.07265	89,800	18,800	71,000	0	0			
	Equip.	12	100			0.11928	94,000	19,700	74,300	0	0			
Chlorination:	Struct.	40	50	50		0.06646	999,800	0	0	499,900	499,900			
	Equip.	20	50	50		0.08718	280,700	0	0	140,400	140,300			
Solids Handling & Disposal:	Struct.	75	100			0.06077	12,800	2,700	10,100	0	0			
	Equip.	40	75	25		0.06646	659,600	103,600	391,100	164,900	0			
Outfall Sewer:	Struct.	25	100			0.07823	167,800	0	0	167,800	0			
	Equip.	30	42	39	19	0.07265	7,800	700	2,600	3,000	1,500			
Activated Sludge Processing:	Struct.	40	42	39	19	0.06646	85,500	7,500	28,400	33,300	16,200			
	Equip.	25	100			0.07823	167,800	0	0	167,800	0			
Study: Sewage Treatment Feasibility	Struct.	40	42	39	19	0.07265	7,800	700	2,600	3,000	1,500			
	Equip.	25	100			0.07823	167,800	0	0	167,800	0			
Total of "x" items (used to establish %)						\$3,965,300	\$352,400	\$1,330,500	\$1,535,600	\$746,800				
TOTAL						\$4,404,300	\$391,000	\$1,476,300	\$1,706,800	\$830,200				
PARAMETER ALLOCATION PERCENTAGES (I)														
							100%	8.887%	33.554%	38.726%	18.833%			

(I) These percentages are used in developing the rolling weighted-average percentages in Form 8A

DISTRIBUTION OF HISTORICAL PROJECT COSTS TO PARAMETERS FOR THE ADVANCE WASTE TREATMENT FACILITIES

PROCESS UNIT	ESTIMATED COST	USEFUL LIFE	(%) LOADING PARAMETERS			CAPITAL RECOVERY FACTOR 6%	ANNUAL CAPITAL RECOVERY COST	FLOW COST ALLOCATED TO I/I 20.956%	TREATMENT PARAMETER ALLOCATIONS			
			FLOW	BOD	SS				NH3	FLOW COST	BOD COST	SS COST
NITRIFICATION FACILITIES												
Site Development:	\$3,583,000	40	75		25	0.06646	\$238,100	\$37,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$59,500
Struct Equip.	112,000	20	100			0.08718	9,800	2,100	0	0	0	0
Service Wing:	656,000	40	30		70	0.06646	43,600	2,700	0	0	0	30,500
Struct Equip.	414,000	20	30		70	0.08718	36,100	2,200	0	0	0	25,300
Blower Building:	3,353,000	40	15		85	0.06646	222,800	7,000	0	0	0	189,400
Struct Equip.	5,453,000	20	15		85	0.08718	475,400	14,900	0	0	0	404,100
Nitrification Tanks:	9,382,000	40	80		20	0.06646	623,500	104,400	0	0	0	124,700
Struct Equip.	3,247,000	25	50		50	0.07823	254,000	26,600	0	0	0	127,000
Tertiary Settlement Tanks:	7,802,000	40	100			0.06646	518,500	108,600	0	0	0	0
Struct Equip.	6,552,000	25	100			0.07823	512,600	107,300	0	0	0	0
Computer Additions:	179,000	20	80		20	0.08718	15,600	2,600	0	0	0	3,100
Equip.												
FILTRATION FACILITIES												
Site Development:	5,825,000	40	80		20	0.06646	387,100	64,800	0	0	0	77,400
Struct Equip.	1,466,000	20	10		90	0.08718	127,800	2,700	0	0	0	115,000
Service Wing:	646,000	40	100			0.06646	42,900	9,000	0	0	0	0
Struct Equip.	414,000	20	100			0.08718	36,100	7,600	0	0	0	0
Tertiary Filters	9,089,000	40	100			0.06646	604,100	126,500	0	0	0	0
Struct Equip.	8,112,000	25	100			0.07823	634,600	132,900	0	0	0	0
Administration Building Additions:	364,000	40	82	2	18	0.06646	24,200	4,200	0	0	0	4,400
Struct Equip.	425,000	20	67	3	28	0.08718	37,100	5,200	700	1,100	0	10,400
Blower Bldg. Modifications:	207,000	40	100			0.06646	13,800	0	13,800	0	0	0
Struct Equip.	615,000	20	100			0.08718	53,600	0	53,600	0	0	0
Sludge Concentration Building Modifications:	165,000	40		100		0.06646	11,000	0	0	11,000	0	0
Struct Equip.	172,000	20		100		0.08718	15,000	0	0	15,000	0	0
Paint Shop:	244,000	40	82	2	18	0.06646	16,200	2,800	0	0	0	2,900
Struct Equip.	439,000	20	67	3	28	0.08718	38,300	5,400	10,500	800	1,100	10,700
Modifications to Existing Plant:	69,000	40	100			0.06646	4,600	1,000	3,600	0	0	0
Struct Equip.	1,515,000	20	65		35	0.08718	132,100	18,000	67,900	46,200	0	0
TOTAL	\$70,500,000						\$5,128,500	\$795,800	\$3,005,000	\$68,900	\$74,400	\$1,184,400
							100%	15.517%	58.594%	1.343%	1.451%	23.095%

PARAMETER ALLOCATION PERCENTAGES (1)

(1) These percentages are used in developing the rolling weighted-average percentages in Form 8A.

**SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
OVERALL HISTORICAL VALUE OF THE RWF (Pre - 1982, 143 MGD, 167 MGD, SBWR, Plant Master Plan New or Rehabilitated Assets) AND
ROLLING WEIGHTED-AVERAGE PARAMETER ALLOCATION PERCENTIAGES (For Projects Costing Less Than \$2 Million and or Not Process-related)
FISCAL YEAR 2024-25**

FACILITIES		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
Primary & Secondary	Percent	42.441%	38.726%	18.833%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$26,176,800	\$23,885,400	\$11,615,800	\$0	\$61,678,000
AWTF	Percent	74.111%	1.343%	1.451%	23.095%	100%
	Cost	\$49,149,000	\$890,600	\$962,300	\$15,316,200	\$66,318,100
Inter. Term Improvements - 143 MGD	Percent	25.125%	42.190%	26.185%	6.500%	100%
	Cost	\$21,741,100	\$36,507,100	\$22,657,900	\$5,624,100	\$86,530,200
First Stage Expansion - 167 MGD	Percent	84.284%	5.501%	10.215%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$22,900,000	\$1,494,500	\$2,775,500	\$0	\$27,170,000
South Bay Water Recycling -Phases 1A & 1B	Percent	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$215,535,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$215,535,300
Plant Master Plan (PMP) Cumulative Addition of New or Rehabilitated Assets	Percent	77.227%	11.744%	7.111%	3.918%	100%
	Cost	\$39,989,255	\$6,081,354	\$3,682,139	\$2,028,552	\$51,781,300
Total Original Cost	(3) Percent	73.769%	13.528%	8.191%	4.512%	100%
	Cost	\$375,491,451	\$68,858,954	\$41,693,639	\$22,968,852	\$509,012,897
Estimated Replacement Cost (June 2023) (1)	Percent	73.769%	13.528%	8.191%	4.512%	100%
	Cost	\$1,607,588,000	\$294,804,700	\$178,499,800	\$98,326,400	\$2,179,218,900

(1) The original cost of the facilities was escalated to June 2023 replacement value using the ENR (San Francisco) construction cost index. The June 2023 value is the current value of 'excess pooled capacity' contained in the Master Agreements for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies.

(2) The value of PMP new or rehabilitated assets in Form 8B page 5 was added to the rolling weighted average at after the expected completion year of the associated project.

(3) The rolling weighted-average is intended to reflect the overall value of the RWF and its overall allocation to billable parameters. It is used to allocate current year capital costs less than \$2 million and/or not process-related to billable parameters. Once allocated to the billable parameters, these costs were distributed to each agency in Form 10D-page 3, based on their capacity ownership of each parameter.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY
SUMMARY of DISTRIBUTION of CAPITAL COST to PARAMETERS - Project-specific Basis for Process-related Projects over \$2M
FISCAL YEAR 2024-25

PROCESS UNIT	FY24-25 Process-related Capital Project over \$2M (In Design Phase)	(%) LOADING PARAMETERS (1)			TREATMENT PARAMETER ALLOCATION					
		FLOW	BOD	SS NH3	FLOW COST	BOD COST	SS COST	NH3 COST		
East Primary Rehab, Seismic Retrofit, and Odor Control	0	60	0	40	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nitrification Clarifier Rehabilitation	0	40	60	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Aeration Tanks & Blower Rehabilitation (Aeration Basins)	0	20	60	0	20	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Aeration Tanks & Blower Rehabilitation (Blowers)	0	20	60	0	20	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Secondary Clarifier Rehabilitation	0	40	60	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
New Disinfection Facilities	0	100	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Final Effluent Pump Station & Stormwater Channel Improvements	0	100	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Digested Sludge Dewatering Facility	2,927,000	0	40	60	0	0	\$0	\$1,170,800	\$0	\$0
Additional Digester Upgrades	0	0	40	60	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$1,756,200	\$0
TOTAL	\$2,927,000						0.000%	\$1,170,800	\$1,756,200	0.000%
				Average %			0.000%	40.000%	60.000%	0.000%

(1) Source: Project-specific parameter allocations from Capital Project Cost Allocation Technical Memorandum, Carollo Engineers, March 2016, page 8.

(2) Process-related projects over \$2M are allocated to billable parameters based on project-specific allocation. Once allocated to the billable parameters, these costs were distributed to each agency on Form 10D page 2, based on their capacity ownership of each parameter.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
HISTORICAL VALUE & ALLOCATION TO PARAMETERS
1982 PRIORITY and INTERMEDIATE-TERM IMPROVEMENTS
 (To Increase Capacity to 143 MGD)

FACILITIES		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
1982 Priority Improvements	Percent	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$1,551,800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,551,800
Intermediate-Term Improvements:	Percent	33.276%	0.000%	0.000%	66.724%	100%
	Cost	\$2,708,700	\$0	\$0	\$5,431,300	\$8,140,000
Phase I	Percent	10.130%	89.117%	0.000%	0.753%	100%
Phase IIA	Cost	\$968,500	\$8,520,500	\$0	\$72,000	\$9,561,000
Phase IIB	Percent	40.623%	20.782%	38.595%	0.000%	100%
Phase IIC	Cost	\$11,500,400	\$5,883,400	\$10,926,200	\$0	\$28,310,000
Process Control System	Percent	10.000%	90.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$1,696,000	\$15,264,000	\$0	\$0	\$16,960,000
Sludge Processing	Percent	100.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$2,848,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,848,700
Other Engineering	Percent	0.000%	35.000%	65.000%	0.000%	100%
	Cost	\$0	\$6,055,000	\$11,245,000	\$0	\$17,300,000
TOTAL 1982 PRIORITY and INTERMEDIATE TERM IMPROVEMENTS	Percent	25.125%	42.190%	26.185%	6.500%	100%
	Cost	\$467,000	\$784,200	\$486,700	\$120,800	\$1,858,700
	Percent	25.125%	42.190%	26.185%	6.500%	100%
	Cost (2)	\$21,741,100	\$36,507,100	\$22,657,900	\$5,624,100	\$86,530,200

(1) The Capital Improvement Program (CIP) provided the facilities necessary to achieve a mean peak week treatment capacity of 143 MGD (CIP, 6/25/82 Executive Summary)

(2) The historical cost allocations from Forms 8B (pages 2&3) are combined on Form 8B (page 4) to derive allocation percentages in Form 10B.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
PLANT MASTER PLAN (PMP) NEW OR REHABILITATED ASSETS - FISCAL YEAR 2024-2025

FORM No. 8B
Page 5 of 5

FACILITIES (1)		FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
TOTAL Cumulative PMP ASSETS as of FY23-24	Percent	77.308%	11.703%	7.086%	3.904%	100%
	Cost (2)	\$39,118,751	\$5,921,718	\$3,585,480	\$1,975,303	\$50,601,252
Misc. Assets Addition	Percent	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0%
	Cost	\$2,058,282	\$377,455	\$228,547	\$125,905	\$2,790,189
Misc. Assets Deletion	Percent	73.769%	13.528%	8.191%	4.512%	100%
	Cost	(\$1,187,778)	(\$217,819)	(\$131,888)	(\$72,656)	(\$1,610,141)
TOTAL CUMMULATIVE PMP ASSETS as of FY24-25	Percent	77.227%	11.744%	7.111%	3.918%	100%
	Cost (2)	\$39,989,255	\$6,081,354	\$3,682,139	\$2,028,552	\$51,781,300

(1) Comprised of PMP New or Rehabilitated Assets to be added to the rolling weighted average on Form 8A that is used to allocate the costs associated with non-process related projects or projects that are less than \$2M to billable parameters.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)

CAPACITY ALLOCATION (1)
AGENCIES' CAPACITY PERCENTS OF THE OVERALL RWF CAPACITIES
FISCAL YEAR 2024-25

AGENCY	FLOW		BOD		SS		NHS	
	MGD	%	Klbs/Day	%	Klbs/Day	%	Klbs/Day	%
San Jose (2)	106.435	63.733	375.003	69.316	333.151	68.549	33.135	66.804
Santa Clara (2)	26.368	15.789	92.903	17.172	82.534	16.982	8.209	16.550
Subtotal	132.803	79.522	467.906	86.488	415.685	85.531	41.344	83.354
W.V.S.D. (3) & (4)	11.697	7.004	28.611	5.289	27.173	5.591	2.825	5.696
Cupertino (5)	7.850	4.701	16.419	3.035	16.299	3.354	2.287	4.611
Milpitas (4) (5)	14.250	8.533	27.249	5.037	25.990	5.348	2.847	5.740
Burbank	0.400	0.240	0.815	0.151	0.853	0.176	0.297	0.599
TOTAL	167.000	100.000	541.000	100.000	486.000	100.000	49.600	100.000

(1) SOURCE: Master Agreements for wastewater treatment between San Jose/Santa Clara and Agencies (revised 9/85).

(2) San Jose and Santa Clara share cost and capacity between themselves proportionally based on assessed valuations.

(3) Reflects transfers of capacities, not reflected in the Master Agreements, to San Jose and Santa Clara resulting from annexations and detachments as of October 2012.

(4) Reflects transfers of capacities from WVSD to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006

(5) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.

NOTE: C.S.D. No. 2-3 has an agreement with San Jose/Santa Clara which entitles it to determine annually, within certain limits, its capacity requirements. The capacity requirements may vary from year to year, therefore, a fixed capacity is not presented on this form. C.S.D. No. 2-3's capacity requirements will be met from San Jose/Santa Clara's capacities shown in this form.

**SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
DISTRIBUTION OF HISTORICAL CAPITAL COSTS**

PRIMARY, SECONDARY AND ADVANCE WASTE TREATMENT FACILITIES

Parameter Allocation Percentages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing Pre-1982 Debt Service to Agencies' Users

AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	\$17,173,700	\$8,622,200	\$10,232,000	\$84,035,200
	Percent (4)	57.128	20.436	12.176	100%
Santa Clara	Cost	\$4,254,500	\$2,136,000	\$2,534,800	\$20,818,500
	Percent (4)	57.128	20.436	12.176	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$21,428,200	\$10,758,200	\$12,766,800	\$104,853,700
	Percent (4)	57.128	20.436	12.176	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	\$1,310,400	\$703,200	\$872,400	\$8,161,800
	Percent (4)	64.640	16.055	10.689	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	\$752,000	\$421,900	\$706,200	\$5,421,200
	Percent (4)	65.319	13.871	13.027	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	\$1,248,000	\$672,700	\$879,100	\$9,227,400
	Percent (4)	69.658	13.525	9.527	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$37,400	\$22,100	\$91,700	\$332,000
	Percent (4)	54.458	6.657	27.620	100%
TOTAL (3)		\$24,776,000	\$12,578,100	\$15,316,200	\$127,996,100

(1) Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006.

(2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.

(3) Capital cost from Form 8B (page 1) are distributed to Agencies to derive the allocation percentages on this Form 10A.

(4) Allocation percentages for use by Agencies in allocating their pre-1982 debt service to their respective users.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY
DISTRIBUTION OF HISTORICAL CAPITAL COSTS

1982 PRIORITY, INTERMEDIATE-TERM (to 143 MGD) and FIRST STAGE EXPANSION (to 167 MGD)
Parameter Allocation Percentages For Use By Agencies In Redistributing Post-1982 through Pre-SBWR Debt Services to Agencies' Users.

AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	\$28,451,100	\$17,434,300	\$3,757,200	\$75,983,900
	Percent (4)	37.444	34.668	4.945	100%
Santa Clara	Cost	\$7,048,400	\$4,319,100	\$930,800	\$18,823,900
	Percent (4)	37.444	34.668	4.945	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$35,499,500	\$21,753,400	\$4,688,000	\$94,807,800
	Percent (4)	37.444	34.668	4.945	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	\$3,126,700	\$1,422,000	\$320,300	\$6,878,900
	Percent (4)	45.453	20.672	4.656	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	\$2,098,600	\$1,153,300	\$259,300	\$4,364,200
	Percent (4)	48.087	26.426	5.942	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	\$3,809,200	\$1,914,100	\$322,800	\$7,406,300
	Percent (4)	51.432	25.844	4.358	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$107,100	\$57,400	\$33,700	\$243,000
	Percent (4)	44.074	23.621	13.868	100%
TOTAL		\$44,641,100	\$38,001,600	\$5,624,100	\$113,700,200

(1) Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006.

(2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.

(3) Comprised of the 1982 Priority & Intermediate-term Improvement Projects (\$86,530,200 - Form 8B p.2) for restoration of the Plant capacity to 143 MGD and the First Stage Expansion Project (\$27,170,000 - Form 8B p.3) for expansion of Plant capacity to 167 MGD.

(4) Parameter allocation percentages for use by the Agencies in redistributing debt services related to 1982 Priority, Intermediate Term Improvements and First Stage Expansion projects.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS

SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING (Phases IA & IB)

Parameter Allocation Percentages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing SBWR Debt Service to Agencies' Users

AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$137,367,100
	Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Santa Clara	Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$34,030,900
	Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$171,398,000	\$0	\$0	\$171,398,000
	Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	\$15,096,100	\$0	\$0	\$15,096,100
	Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	\$10,132,300	\$0	\$0	\$10,132,300
	Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	\$18,391,600	\$0	\$0	\$18,391,600
	Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$517,300	\$0	\$0	\$517,300
	Percent (3)	100.000	0.000	0.000	100%
TOTAL		\$215,535,300	\$0	\$0	\$215,535,300

(1) Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006.

(2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.

(3) Comprised of capital projects necessary to reduce dry weather effluent flow the RWF to 120 million gallons per day and protect salt marsh habitat for endangered species in the South Bay as required by the Regional Water Quality Control Board Order 94-117.

(3) SBWR capital costs and related debt services are allocated to Flow parameter only.

**SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS - ALL PROJECTS (3)**

Parameter Allocation Percentages for Use by Agencies in Redistributing Fiscal Year 24-25 Capital Costs to Agencies' Users

AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost \$26,234,900 Percent (3) 68.471	\$6,057,300 15.809	\$4,340,000 11.326	\$1,683,400 4.394	\$38,315,600 100%
Santa Clara	Cost \$6,499,300 Percent (3) 68.471	\$1,500,700 15.809	\$1,075,000 11.326	\$417,200 4.394	\$9,492,200 100%
Subtotal	Cost \$32,734,200 Percent (3) 68.471	\$7,558,000 15.809	\$5,415,000 11.326	\$2,100,600 4.394	\$47,807,800 100%
West Valley (1)	Cost \$2,905,200 Percent (3) 75.083	\$463,900 11.989	\$355,700 9.193	\$144,500 3.735	\$3,869,300 100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost \$1,950,000 Percent (3) 76.558	\$266,600 10.467	\$213,500 8.382	\$117,000 4.593	\$2,547,100 100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost \$3,539,500 Percent (3) 79.233	\$441,900 9.892	\$340,200 7.616	\$145,600 3.259	\$4,467,200 100%
CSD 2-3	Cost \$249,600 Percent (3) 77.061	\$33,700 10.404	\$26,500 8.182	\$14,100 4.353	\$323,900 100%
Burbank	Cost \$99,500 Percent (3) 71.738	\$13,000 9.373	\$11,000 7.931	\$15,200 10.959	\$138,700 100%
TOTAL (3)	\$41,478,000	\$8,777,100	\$6,361,900	\$2,537,000	\$59,154,000

(1) Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006.
 (2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.
 (3) These are the parameter allocation percentages for use by Agencies in allocating their FY24-25 RWF capital costs and related debt services costs to their respective users.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS - Process-related Facilities & Equipments That Cost in Excess of \$2 Million (project-specific basis)
FISCAL YEAR 2024-25

AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost	\$0	\$1,198,000	\$0	\$2,005,800
	Percent	0.000	59.726	0.000	100%
Santa Clara	Cost	\$200,200	\$296,800	\$0	\$497,000
	Percent	40.273	59.726	0.000	100%
Subtotal	Cost	\$1,008,000	\$1,494,800	\$0	\$2,502,800
	Percent	40.273	59.726	0.000	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost	\$61,900	\$98,200	\$0	\$160,100
	Percent	38.663	61.337	0.000	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost	\$35,500	\$58,900	\$0	\$94,400
	Percent	37.606	62.394	0.000	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost	\$59,000	\$93,900	\$0	\$152,900
	Percent	38.587	61.413	0.000	100%
CSD 2-3	Cost	\$4,500	\$7,400	\$0	\$11,900
	Percent	37.815	62.185	0.000	100%
Burbank	Cost	\$1,800	\$3,100	\$0	\$4,900
	Percent	36.735	63.265	0.000	100%
TOTAL (3)		\$1,170,700	\$1,756,300	\$0	\$2,927,000

- (1) Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006.
- (2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.
- (3) This Forms 10D page 2 (project-specific allocation) is combined with Form 10D page 3 (rolling weighted-average allocation) to derive the agency parameter allocation percentages for agencies to use in redistributing FY23-24 RWF capital costs and related debt service to their respective users.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
DISTRIBUTION OF CAPITAL COSTS (rolling weighted-average basis)
 Projects Costing Less Than \$2 Million and/or Not Process-related
FISCAL YEAR 2024-25

AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL
San Jose	Cost \$26,234,900	\$5,249,500	\$3,142,000	\$1,683,400	\$36,309,800
	Percent 72.253	14.458	8.652	4.636	100%
Santa Clara	Cost \$6,499,300	\$1,300,500	\$778,200	\$417,200	\$8,995,200
	Percent 72.253	14.458	8.652	4.636	100%
Subtotal	Cost \$32,734,200	\$6,550,000	\$3,920,200	\$2,100,600	\$45,305,000
	Percent 72.253	14.458	8.652	4.636	100%
West Valley (1)	Cost \$2,905,200	\$402,000	\$257,500	\$144,500	\$3,709,200
	Percent 78.324	10.838	6.942	3.896	100%
Cupertino (2)	Cost \$1,950,000	\$231,100	\$154,600	\$117,000	\$2,452,700
	Percent 79.504	9.422	6.303	4.770	100%
Milpitas (1) (2)	Cost \$3,539,500	\$382,900	\$246,300	\$145,600	\$4,314,300
	Percent 82.041	8.875	5.709	3.375	100%
CSD 2-3	Cost \$249,600	\$29,200	\$19,100	\$14,100	\$312,000
	Percent 80.000	9.359	6.122	4.519	100%
Burbank	Cost \$99,500	\$11,200	\$7,900	\$15,200	\$133,800
	Percent 74.365	8.371	5.904	11.360	100%
TOTAL	\$41,478,000	\$7,606,400	\$4,605,600	\$2,537,000	\$56,227,000

- (1) Reflects transfers of capacities from W.V.S.D. to Milpitas - effective July 1, 2006.
- (2) Reflects transfers of capacities from Cupertino to Milpitas - effective January 1, 2009.
- (3) This Forms 10D page 3 (rolling weighted-average allocation) is combined with Form 10D page 2 (project-specific allocation) to derive parameter allocation percentages for agencies to use in redistributing FY24-25 RWF capital costs and related debt service costs to their respective users in Form 10D page 1.

SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)

SUMMARY OF ANNUAL LOADINGS
FISCAL YEAR 2024-25

AGENCY	FLOW		BOD		SS		NH	
	MG	%	Klbs	%	Klbs	%	Klbs	%
San Jose	25,080.679	66.519	53,401.432	66.744	49,394.171	68.076	6,770.306	69.466
Santa Clara	4,828.860	12.807	8,656.444	10.819	7,431.642	10.242	990.900	10.167
Subtotal	29,909.539	79.326	62,057.876	77.563	56,825.813	78.318	7,761.206	79.633
W.V.S.D.	3,395.735	9.006	7,378.054	9.221	6,664.078	9.184	877.784	9.006
Cupertino	1,845.372	4.894	4,708.064	5.884	3,881.483	5.349	465.312	4.774
Milpitas	2,115.300	5.610	4,956.880	6.195	4,300.670	5.927	518.460	5.320
C.S.D. No. 2-3	353.806	0.938	734.382	0.918	714.050	0.984	99.525	1.021
Burbank	85.209	0.226	175.234	0.219	172.570	0.238	24.013	0.246
TOTAL	37,704.961	100.000	80,010.490	100.000	72,558.664	100.000	9,746.300	100.000

**SAN JOSE - SANTA CLARA REGIONAL WASTEWATER FACILITY (RWF)
DISTRIBUTION OF OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE COSTS
FISCAL YEAR 2024-25**

AGENCY	FLOW	BOD	SS	NH3	TOTAL	PERCENT SHARE OF COST
San Jose	80.145% (1) Cost Percent 34.234	\$21,867,800 21.659	\$22,080,600 21.870	\$22,451,300 22.237	\$100,963,500 100%	63.140%
Santa Clara	19.855% (1) Cost Percent 34.234	\$5,417,500 21.659	\$5,470,200 21.870	\$5,562,100 22.237	\$25,012,600 100%	15.643%
Subtotal	100% Cost Percent 34.234	\$27,285,300 21.659	\$27,550,800 21.870	\$28,013,400 22.237	\$125,976,100 100%	78.783%
West Valley	Cost Percent 33.676	\$3,243,800 22.311	\$3,230,800 22.222	\$3,168,200 21.791	\$14,539,000 100%	9.093%
Cupertino	Cost Percent 32.088	\$2,069,900 24.964	\$1,881,700 22.694	\$1,679,400 20.254	\$8,291,700 100%	5.186%
Milpitas	Cost Percent 33.203	\$3,050,000 23.725	\$2,085,000 22.698	\$1,871,500 20.374	\$9,185,800 100%	5.745%
C.S.D. No. 2-3	Cost Percent 33.154	\$510,000 20.991	\$346,200 22.505	\$359,200 23.350	\$1,538,300 100%	0.962%
Burbank	Cost Percent 33.207	\$122,900 20.805	\$83,700 22.616	\$86,500 23.372	\$370,100 100%	0.231%
TOTAL (2)		\$54,366,400	\$35,178,200	\$35,178,200	\$159,901,000	100.000%

(1) San Jose and Santa Clara share cost between themselves based on assessed valuation ratios.

(2) In accordance with the State Water Resources Control Board's Revenue Program Guidelines for Wastewater Agencies, the O/M Budget is allocated 34% to Flow and 22% each to BOD, SS and NH3.



Memo

Item 9A

To: Board of Directors
From: Benjamin T. Porter, District Manager-Engineer
Date: March 6, 2024
Re: MBR Plumbing, LLC Request to Provide Yearly Maintenance Services for District's Sewage Collection System

Mr. Brian Bristol (Owner of MBR Plumbing, LLC) is requesting to provide yearly maintenance support to help clean District's sewage collection system. Mr. Bristol submitted the Contractor's Registration application which includes the business and contractor's license, prevailing wage DIR No, Certificate of Liability Insurance, and MBR Plumbing prevailing wage billing rate.

Staff has reviewed all submittal documents for current information to make sure all documents are up to date and meet all District's general registration requirements. Staff also compared MBR Plumbing PW billing rate with the current standard PW list from ABLE Septic Tank Service, AB/JDD Plumbing, and Roto Rooter for FY2023-2024. Staff found that MBR Plumbing PW billing rate is within the range of these other vendors.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends the Board authorize and allow MBR Plumbing to provide yearly maintenance support to help clean our sewage collection system. The yearly maintenance support work will be split evenly between these contractors: ABLE Septic Tank Service, AB/JDD Plumbing Heating & Air Conditioning, Rotor Rooter and MBR Plumbing.

Attachment:

1. MBR Plumbing, LLC– PW Billing Rate

Attachment 1.

MBR Plumbing LLC dba Flowing Water

DESCRIPTION	PREVAILING WAGE BILLING RATE
EMERGENCY MINIMUM CHARGES	\$398.00/hr
HOURLY VAC UNIT COST	\$650.00/hr
TOTAL HYDRO UNIT COST (HYDROFLUSH ONLY)	\$610.00/hr
TOTAL HYDRO UNIT COST (ROOT CUTTING)	\$610.00/hr
HYDRO EMERGENCY/NON-MAINTENANCE	\$750.00/hr
TOTAL COMBINATION UNIT COST	\$650.00/hr
TOTAL CONFINED SPACE COST (FLAT RATE PLUS LABOR RATES)	\$698.00/hr
TRAFFIC CONTROL (DAILY LT BOARDS/SIGNS/ CONES)	\$298.00/hr
TRAFFIC CONTROL LABOR (PER HR PER MAN w/ RADIO COMMUNICATION)	\$150.00/hr
TRUCKING RATES (END DUMP 20 TONS)	N/A
TRUCKING RATES (10 WHEELER UNIT)	N/A
DUMP TRAILER UNIT (PLUS DISPOSAL CHARGE)	\$350.00/hr
SEWER RODDING UNIT (DAY RATES)	\$220.00/hr
SEWER RODDING UNIT (OT AND WEEKENDS)	\$398.00/hr
4" VIDEO INSPECTION UNIT(1 HR MIN - LAT CAM)	\$298.00/hr
6" TO 72" VIDEO UNIT (4 HR MIN - MAIN CAM)	N/A
LATERAL LAUNCHING (FROM MAIN - DISCOUNT AFTER 3 LATS)	N/A
LINE LOCATING / MAPPING (1 HR MINIMUM)	\$448.00/hr
CASED 135SR 35000 LB (4 HR MINIMUM - 250 EACH WAY)	N/A
KOBELCO EXCAVATOR (4 HR MINIMUM)	N/A
4X4 BACKHOE w/ OPERATOR (4 HR MINIMUM)	\$1,192.00/ per 4 Hour
MTL16 MUSTANG LOADER/GRADER (4 HR MINIMUM)	N/A
MINI EXCAVATOR (4 HR MINIMUM)	\$800.00

COMPRESSOR w/ HAMMER (PER DAY PLUS LABOR RATE)	\$298.00
SAW CUTTER (PER DAY PLUS \$1.60 PER FT)	Per Day \$1,600.00 + \$1.60'
LABOR RATES	
SUPERINTENDENT	
FOREMAN (EACH)	\$298.00/hr
LABORER (EACH)	\$150.00/hr
DAILY TRUCK (EQUIPMENT SUPPLIED w/ UNIT)	
DAILY TRUCK (NO EQUIPMENT SUPPLIED w/ UNIT)	

**CUPERTINO SANITARY DISTRICT
MEETING/EVENT SCHEDULE**

Item 11.A.

<p align="center"><u>MARCH 2024</u></p> <p>03/04: SCCSDA 03/06: 1st Regular Meeting 03/11: TAC 03/13: CASSE 03/14: TPAC 03/20: 2nd Regular Meeting</p>	MARCH 2024						
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1	2
	3	4	5	6 1 st Regular Meeting	7	8	9
	10	11 TAC	12	13 CASSE	14 TPAC	15	16
	17	18	19	20 2 nd Regular Meeting	21	22	23
	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	31						

<p align="center"><u>APRIL 2024</u></p> <p>04/03: 1st Regular Meeting 04/10: CASSE 04/15: TAC 04/17: 2nd Regular Meeting 04/18: TPAC</p>	APRIL 2024						
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
		1	2	3 1 st Regular Meeting	4	5	6
	7	8	9	10 CASSE	11	12	13
	14	15 TAC	16	17 2 nd Regular Meeting	18 TPAC	19	20
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	28	29	30				

<p align="center"><u>MAY 2024</u></p> <p>05/01: 1st Regular Meeting 05/06: TAC 05/08: CASSE 05/09: TPAC 05/15: 2nd Regular Meeting</p>	MAY 2024						
	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
				1 1 st Regular Meeting	2	3	4
	5	6 TAC	7	8 CASSE	9 TPAC	10	11
	12	13	14	15 2 nd Regular Meeting	16	17	18
	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
	26	27	28	29	30	31	